

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFIGE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/452,658	05/25/1995	WALTER C. FIERS	WALTER C. FIERS B8/B8-CIP-DI		
5	7590 01/15/2003				
JAMES F HALEY JR			EXAMINER		
FISH AND NEAVE 1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			MARTINELL, JAMES		
NEW YORK, NY 100201104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631		
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003 / \$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1 4		Application	10.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		08/452,658		FIERS, WALTER C.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		James Martin		1631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified a - If NO period for reply is specifie	extended period for reply will, by state later than three months after the mail	N. 1.136(a). In no event, he ply within the statutory od will apply and will expure the applications.	nowever, may a reply be ti minimum of thirty (30) da bire SIX (6) MONTHS fror on to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to co	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 31,33 and 34 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 31,33 and 34 is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	hi-stad to by the Everi	inor					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
a)							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
— Charles and the Marianal Charles							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Notice of Draftsperson's Pa Notice of Draftsperson's Pa	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, 5		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 08/452,658 Page 2

Art Unit: 1631

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 1631.

Prosecution of this application on the merits is hereby resumed. The application is no longer suspended.

Previously allowed claims 31, 33, and 34 are rejected in this Office action.

The drawings are objected to because of the reasons cited on PTO-948 attached to paper no. 3 (a copy of that PTO-948 is attached to this Office action). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein. Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings **MUST** be filed within the **THREE MONTH** shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Draftsperson, **MUST** be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings **MUST** be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made, other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.185(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set (or extended) period will result in **ABANDONMENT** of the application.

Application/Control Number: 08/452,658

Art Unit: 1631

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37

CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 31, 33, and 34 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 37 of copending Application No. 08/253,843 and claims 31, 33, and 34 of copending Application No. 08/449,930. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the method claims overlap in scope since the polypeptide to be administered is the same.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 08/452,658

Art Unit: 1631

Claims 31 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by either one

Page 4

of Sugano et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,514,5657) or Sugano et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,326,859). Each of the Sugano et al patents discloses a DNA that encodes the same amino acid sequence as is recited in claim 34 (e.g., see Table 5 in each of the references). Additionally the DNA in Table 5 of each of the Sugano et al references would hybridize to the DNAs mentioned in claim 31 of the instant application (e.g., the DNA in Table 5 of each of the Sugano et al references differs by only one nucleotide (at codon 30) from the DNA recited in claim 33 of the instant application) and also encodes a beta-interferon. Thus, the DNA of Sugano et al is embraced by claim 31 (parts 1) (a) and 1) (b) of the claim). The use of beta-interferon for treatment of human cancers is disclosed in each of the Sugano et al references at columns 1, lines 10-30) and the heterologous expression of DNA encoding beta-interferon is taught in each of the Sugano et al references at column 15 and claim 21-31 (U.S. Patent No. 5,514,567) and column 16 (U.S. Patent No. 5,326,859). Thus, the methods taught in each of the Sugano et al references are embraced by claims 31 and 34.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Martinell whose telephone number is (703) 308-0296. The fax phone number for Examiner Martinell's desktop workstation is (703) 746-5162. The examiner works a flexible schedule and can be reached by phone and voice mail. Alternatively, a request for a return telephone call may be emailed to james.martinell@uspto.gov. Since e-mail communications may not be secure, it is suggested that information in such requests be limited to name, phone number, and the best time to return the call.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward, can be reached on (703) 305-4028. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242.

Application/Control Number: 08/452,658

Art Unit: 1631

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

James Martinell, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1631